IN CLERK'S OFFICE US DISTRICT COURT EDNY

## REITER v. MAXI-AIDS, INC., CV 14-3712

★ JUL 2 1 2016

# LONG ISLAND OFFICE

#### **VERDICT SHEET**

## PART I: Retaliaion Claim under the Family Medical Leave Act (FMLA)

1.	Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he exercised or attempted to exercise rights protected under the FMLA?				
	YES	NO			
		Question 1, proceed to Question 2. uestion 1, proceed to Part II, Question 4.			
2.	Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that his exercise or attempt to exercise rights protected under the FMLA was a motivating factor in the defendant's decision to discharge him?				
	YES	NO			
	If you answered "Yes" to Question 2, proceed to Question 3. If you answered "No" to Question 2, proceed to Part II, Question 4.				
3.	Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant's violation of the FMLA was willful?				
	YES	NO			
	Proceed to Part II, Question	on 4.			



## PART II: Discrimination Claim under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)

4.	was disabled or perceived to be disabled, by his employer?				
	YES	NO			
		Question 4, proceed to Question 5. Question 4, proceed to Part III, Question 9.			
5.	Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he was otherwise qualified to perform the essential functions of the job with or without reasonable accommodation				
	YES	NO			
	*	Question 5, proceed to Question 6. Question 5, proceed to Part III, Question 9.			
6.	Has Plaintiff proven by a p benefits?	preponderance of the evidence that he was denied hea	alth care		
	YES	NO			
		Question 6, proceed to Question 7. Question 6, SKIP to Question 8.	•		
7.	_ ,	preponderance of the evidence that his disability or postator in the Defendant's decision to deny him heal			
	YES	NO			
	Proceed to Question 8.	,			
8.	Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that his disability or perceived disability was a motivating factor in the Defendant's decision to discharge him from employment?				
	· YES	NO			
	Proceed to Part III. Quest	tion 9.			

# PART III: Discrimination Claim under the New York State Human Rights Law (NYSHRL)

9.	Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that, as defined by the NYSHRI he was disabled or perceived to be disabled by his employer?				
	YES	NO			
	If you answered "Yes" to Question If you answered "No" to Question	n 9, proceed to Question 10. n 9, proceed to Part IV, Question 14.			
10.	Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he was otherwise qualified to perform the essential functions of the job with or without reasonable accommodation?				
	YES	NO			
	If you answered "Yes" to Question If you answered "No" to Question	n 10, proceed to Question 11. n 10, proceed to Part IV, Question 14.			
11.	Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he was denied health care benefits?				
	YES	NO			
	If you answered "Yes" to Question If you answered "No" to Question	n 11, proceed to Question 12. 11, SKIP to Question 13.			
12.	Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that his disability or perceived disability was a motivating factor in the decision by both or either Defendant to deny him health care benefits?				
	Defendant Maxi-Aids: YES	NO			
	Defendant Zaretsky: YES	NO			
	Proceed to Question 13.	•			

13.	Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that his disability or perceived disability was a motivating factor in the decision by both or either Defendant to discharge him from employment?			
	Defendant Maxi-Aids: YES	X	NO	
	Defendant Zaretsky: YES		NO	

Proceed to Part IV, Question 14.

# PART IV: Associational Discrimination Claim under ADA

14.	Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he was qualified for the job at the time of his discharge from employment?			
	YES		NO	·
			on 14, proceed to Questi n 14, proceed to Part V,	
15.			rance of the evidence that that Plaintiff had a daugh	t Defendant Maxi-Aids, at the ter with a disability?
	YES		NO	
			on 15, proceed to Questi n 15, proceed to Part V,	
16.	Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Maxi-Aids either (a) believed, rightly or wrongly, that covering plaintiff's daughter through its health insurance policy would be expensive, or (b) feared that plaintiff would be distracted or inattentive at work due to his daughter's disability?			
	YES	X	NO	
			on 16, proceed to Questi n 16, proceed to Part V,	
17.	Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that his association with his daughter was a determining factor in the Defendant's decision to discharge him from employment?			
	YES	$\rightarrow$	NO	·
	Proceed to Part	V, Question 18.		

# PART V: Retaliation Claims under the ADA and NYSRHL

18.	Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he engaged in protected activity?				
	YES X	NO			
	If you answered "Yes" to Question If you answered "No" to Question	· •			
19.	Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that his employer was aware of this protected activity?				
	YES	NO			
	If you answered "Yes" to Question If you answered "No" to Question	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
20.	Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that his protected activity was the critical factor in the decision by both or either Defendant to discharge Plaintiff?				
	Defendant Maxi-Aids: YES	SNO			
	Defendant Zaretsky: YES	SNOX			

Proceed to Part VI.

#### **PART VI: DAMAGES**

You are to consider Plaintiff's request for damages ONLY if you answered "Yes" to any part of Questions 7, 8, 12, 13, 17 or 20.

If you answered "No" to ALL of Questions 7, 8, 12, 13, 17 and 20, you are finished.

21. Answer (a), OR (a) AND (c), OR (b).

Do not answer (b) if you answered a dollar amount in response to (a) or (c)

(a) Compensatory Damages: \$	<u>)                                    </u>	_
------------------------------	--	---

(b) Nominal Damages: \$\_\_\_\_\_

(c) Punitive Damages:

If you answered "Yes" to Question 7, 8, 17, and/or 20, has Plaintiff proven that Defendant Maxi-Aids' conduct was malicious or in reckless disregard of Plaintiff's rights?

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_

If YES, proceed to determine an amount.

If NO, you are finished.

\$400,000.00

ang Sorensen

YOU ARE FINISHED. RETURN TO THE COURTROOM.